

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,846	12/29/2005	Albert Ratermann	112740-1117	8277
	7590 10/18/200' & LLOYD, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1135			PAN, YUWEN	
CHICAGO, IL	60690	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/562,846	RATERMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yuwen Pan	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	ugust 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/562,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/08/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that prior art of record does not teach switching at least one further device, in addition to a minimum number of devices switched to the park mode from an active mode device to a park mode. The examiner respectfully disagrees because Fujita reference does teach to switch more than one slave station (the minimum number of slave station could be switch to park mode) in order to maintain a certain throughput (see column 1 and lines 25-33). The teaching of Fujita reference would provide a remedy to Fujioka's system in which a master terminal is active with a maximum number (according to the Bluetooth standard usually the maximum number is 7 slave) of slave stations. According to Fujita, when the master station communicates too many slave stations simultaneously, it would reduce to communication capacity and hence the effective transmission speed of each slave station. Therefore, when the master station is able to communication one slave station (active mood) and ground other stations (park mood) in which more than one station, it would improve the throughput between the master station and slave station.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2618

3. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka (US006907227B2) in view of Fujita (US007088691B2).

Per claim 5, Fujioka discloses A method for operating a short haul radio transmitting/radio receiving system comprising: determining a maximum number of devices that may communicate with a master device; determining devices present that exceed the maximum number; switching devices that exceed the maximum number to a park mode; switching the parked devices exceeding the maximum number into an active mode, according to a predefined strategy; and switching active devices into a park mode according to the predefined strategy (see column 2 and lines 13-67). Fujioka doesn't expressly teach that at least one further device will be switched to the park mode in addition to the minimum number of devices switched to the park mode. Fujita teaches that at least one further device will be switched to the park mode in addition to the minimum number of devices switched to the park mode in which only one device is kept in active mode and the rest of are switched to park mode (see abstract and figure 1). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Fujita with Fujioka's device such that it would provide the deterioration of a effective communication rate with respect to an operating time (see column 1 and lines 25-33).

4. Claim 7-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujioka (US006907227B2) and Fujita (JP2002064512A) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Manish et al (XP-000968001).

Per claim 7, combination of Fujioka and Fujita doesn't teach that the predefined strategy is based on timeslices which are cyclically assigned to the individual devices. Manish teaches that the predefined strategy is based on timeslices which are cyclically assigned to the individual

Application/Control Number: 10/562,846

Art Unit: 2618

devices (see page 910, under section B UQP and PUQP). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine teaching of Manish with the combination of Fujioka and Fujita such that it would provide proper parameter and fairness among all the slave devices.

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to claims 8-10.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yuwgn Pan October 3, 2007